

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,329	12/19/2005	Seiichi Kogure	2271/75616	9332
23432 COOPER & D	7590 07/05/2007 UNHAM LLP		EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUE	E OF THE AMERICAS	·	DUBNOW, JOSHUA M	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		TH	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,329	KOGURE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Joshua M. Dubnow	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re- d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 L	December 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) Objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apportity documents have been r	plication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to an image forming apparatus with means for controlling a conveyance speed in accordance with a charge period length.

Group II, claim(s) 8, drawn to an image forming apparatus with means for controlling a conveyance speed in accordance with an existence of the charges.

Group III, claim(s) 9-15, drawn to an image forming apparatus where the charge period length is adjusted by means for controlling a charge period when the charge period length is equal to or longer than a predetermined length, and the conveyance speed is adjusted by means for controlling a conveyance speed when the charge period length is shorter than a predetermined length.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The groups listed above are not within the permitted combination of different categories of inventions, that is three apparatuses. As set forth in PCT/JP2005/008267, there is no special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. EP1238812 has common technical features such as a conveyance belt to convey the medium by electrostatic force, a recording head, alternating positive and negative charges on the belt, and a controller.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Upon election of Group 1 or Group III:

A. The charging means applies the charges from a state where the conveyance belt is stopped until the predetermined conveyance speed is reached.

- B. The charging means applies the charges from a state where the conveyance belt is at the predetermined speed until the belt is stopped.
- C. The charging means applies the charges from a state where the conveyance belt is stopped until the predetermined conveyance speed is reached, and from a state where the conveyance belt is at the predetermined conveyance speed until the belt is stopped.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claim 2 and claim 10 correspond to species A, claim 4 and claim 12 correspond to species B, and claim 6 and claim 14 correspond to species C.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1 and claim 9.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As set forth from PCT/JP2005/008267, there is no special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua M. Dubnow whose telephone number is 571-270-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Luu can be reached on 571-272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MATTHEW LUU

REDVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Joshua M Dubnow

Examiner Art Unit 2861

June 28, 2007